

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/537,773	06/06/2005	Arsenia Estrella Garrido	Q-88188	4652
23373 759	90 03/07/2006		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			HALE, GLORIA M	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800		w.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			3765	

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		XP			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summant	10/537,773	ESTRELLA GARRIDO, ARSENIA			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAN INC DATE of this committee is	Gloria Hale	3765			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the C	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
n)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	,				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims	+				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examine</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 June 2005</u> is/are: a)</li> <li>Applicant may not request that any objection to the</li> </ul>	⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to	-			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	_				
<ul> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6-6-05.</li> </ul>	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 3765

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Specification

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The exact structure of the invention is not clearly described in regard to the inflatable and deflatable bag which can be burst to act as an indicator of which breast is being used when breast feeding. The exact structure that bursts and how it is formed has not been clearly described.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear as to what is actually being claimed since there are too many alternative embodiments in the claims. There are numerous structural combinations.

Therefore it is not clear as to what exact structure is being claimed. There are multiple

Art Unit: 3765

other being the burst able bag or inflatable structure. It has not been clearly disclosed how the burst able structure is formed as discussed above. Also, in claim 3 it is not clear as to what a "small deposit" encompasses in regard to the bag or inflatable bubble wherein the different structures may not be structural equivalents but different inventive structures.

## **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the bag or inflatable and burst able structure that is also deflatable must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New

Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 4 "disk" should read - - disks- - . Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Logue (US 6,264,529).

Logue discloses a nursing bra 40 with an absorbent disk being the bra cup (42) itself that absorbs fluid as claimed and also includes an indicator 38 that is a tactile or optical marker. Each indicator 38 is different, removable and replaceable on each separate cup or disk as broadly claimed.

Claim 3 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/537,773 Page 5

Art Unit: 3765

Additionally, the specification must clearly describe the structure of the embodiment of claim 3. Only a single embodiment should be claimed. The present claims and specification are unclear in that it appears that multiple embodiments are being disclosed and claimed. No new matter should be added to the specification. IF multiple embodiments remain in the next response an Election requirement may be issued.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gloria Hale whose telephone number is 571-272-4984. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues.-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Calvert can be reached on 571-272-4983. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GLORIA M. HALE PRIMARY EXAMINE